B to [illegible]

Preserve for another ten years.

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1933.
(Margrai)

File No. 194-3

Serial Nos. 1 to 2

Later References
Marg 1944-33-3

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for March 34

SUBJECT.

Loan of Rs 1500 from funds of Sri Sangada Ramachandradevaru temple for the revised estimate for repairing Sri Malli Karjuna temple at Mrigavadhe.

To the Marg Asst
" Comptroller
" Engineer

No. 1120-2 & 21-2-34.

In continuation with certain observation

8/2

Serial No.

Letter No 1540 dated 25/12/32 from the Manager, [illegible] with reference to G.O. [illegible] forwards an estimate for Rs 2295 for repairing the Sri Muthukaruppa [illegible] at Meignanapuram, Tirutha[illegible] and requests sanction for a loan of Rs 1000 from the funds of the Sri Ramaswamy Varadaraja [illegible] the excess charges over the estimated cost of the repairs.

An estimate for Rs 2295 was sanctioned in April 1923 for the purpose and it is now reported after nearly 12 years, that no outlay against this, has so far been incurred. The amount has been placed at the disposal of the P.W.D. This estimate is now revised for Rs 3404 prepared by the D.P.W. and the D.C. requests for a loan of Rs 1500 to meet the excess cost from the funds of Sri Ramachandra Varadaraja. The M.C. states that the excess amount required is Rs 1104 and he recommends that a loan of Rs 1000 may be sanctioned for the purpose from the said temple funds, the loan being repayable in five annual instalments of Rs 200 each with interest at 4% The latter does not recommend that this loan of Rs 30,87-10-2 at its credit to the temple be [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0484—GPB—15,000—17-10-33

Repairs to Sri Mallikarjunaswami temple Thekkalli

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

facilate the grant by the loan asked for.

A draft G.O lonely to put up for orders.

3/ From the M.C's report it is seen that an estimate for Rs 2295/- for repairing Sri Mallikarjuna Swamy temple at Mugavathe was sanctd in F.D. Ms 13.4.1922. ie nearly 12 years ago. From para 2 of the same letter it is also seen that the full amount of estimate was placed at the disposal of the E.E. The exact date is not given. Why the E.E. has not taken up the work all these years is not explained. If the temple was in bad repair in 1922 alone or earlier and the attention has been paid to it for another 12 years since it is just possible that some more parts have fallen or damaged necessitating extra work & extra cost. The dilatoriness of the P.W.D in this case is responsible for this extra cost to the temple. Again if the amount of estimate viz Rs 2295/- was placed at the disposal of the E.E. some years back, as it seems to be the case, and the outlay has been incurred till now, the temple has lost the interest

Serial No.

it would otherwise have got if the Amount had been kept in S B deposit at the rate of Rs 110/- per year. Thus the temple has been a loser in two ways :— (1) In having to pay more for the works now done
(2) In having lost the interest.

The whole thing seems to have been managed in a very dilatory way by the Bd. & this requires to be enquired.

The proposal of the Dne, to ~~grant~~ sanction a loan of Rs 1000/- for Sri Ramachandra Devasthanam temple repayable in 5 Annual instalments of Rs 200/- each is for orders.

9/2/34

Secretary) The Devasthanam Secy and the Chief Engr asked to Enquire and report about the delay in the Execution of the old Estimate ~~and the cause when the~~ even when a deposit was made and the loss caused to the Inhabitants thereby

2nd Asst

9/2 1/2

I agree —

Sd/-
11/2

1/3/2 —

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 10 FEB. 1934 NO 5013

WD 0431—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

Repairs to Sri Mallikarjunaswami Temple

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

5/2 A revised chart G.O is perhaps

15/2/16

2 c/ 19/2

TYPING C... 19...

1120 - 2 dated 21 2.1934 2

The M.C in allyline

" Comptroller

" Chief Engineer

I endorse the recommendation of the M.C and requests the chief Engineer to enquire and report about the delay in execution of the old estimate even when a deposit was made and the loss caused to the institution thereby.

B + Secy 1/3/34

M (C. 13]

No. 1340/32-33
Enel Rec

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, ... 193 .

15

828
26 JAN

FROM

~~S. HIRIANNAIYA, Esq., M.A., B.L.,~~

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

May 194-33-L

25/1/34

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

.....*Department.*

SIR,

With reference to Government [illegible] dated 13-4-1922 sanctioning an estimate [illegible] the Sri Mallikarjunaswamy temple at Mal[illegible] I have the honor to state that [illegible] revised estimate for I 5401/- prepared by [illegible] that a loan of I 1500/- may be sanctioned [illegible] Sri Samvegade Ramachandradevaru temple, [illegible]

2. It is reported that the work of I 3[illegible] sanctioned estimate has been placed [illegible] and no outlay has so far been incurred against [illegible] estimate. The excess amount required is only I 1[illegible]

3. I request that a loan of I 1000/- [illegible] the funds of the Sri Samvegade [illegible] halli Taluk, repayable in five [illegible] with interest at 4 %.

4. On receipt of Government orders [illegible] vised estimate will be submitted [illegible]

5. A copy of the [illegible] reference.

Letter No.Mun.A.T.315/61-62 dated 26-12-63 from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District, to the Thasildar Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Repairing the temple of Sri Mallikarjunaswamy at Hirjavalu, Tirthahalli Taluk.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.1310/55 dated 25/27-7-1963 calling for proposals in consultation with the Dharmadarsis of the concerned institutions, which can afford to advance a loan of Rs. 2000/- for repairing the temple of Sri Mallikarjunaswamy at Hirjavalu, I have the honour to state that the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Ram Swamy temple at Tirthahalli have resolved on 15-9-1963 that the loan applied for should be given as the balance might not suffice for any extra expenses and the income of the town is not collected.

The Amildar reports that the Sri Samasthana Ramachandraswamy has got at credit a sum of Rs. 3087-10-2, that the temple is under the control of Government as Dharmadarsis have not been appointed, that a sum of Rs. 2000/- may be loaned out from its funds and there would be no difficulty for expenses in temple as the yeni amount for the current year will be recovered in July.

As the work is reported to be of an urgent nature I request that a sum of Rs. 1500/- may be sanctioned as a loan out of the funds of the Sri Samasthana Ramachandradevaru, Tirthahalli Taluk.

If the proposed revision of the scale of Sri Mallikarjunaswamy temple is approved there will be a savings of a sum of Rs. 440-3-10 per annum under repairs and the repayment of the loan in instalments with interest may be made out of this Reserve amount. The annual instalment may be fixed at Rs. 150/- with the rate of interest at 4% per annum. If this suggestion meets with your approval the full amount of the loan will be repaid in ten years.

The Executive Engineer in his letter No.39 dated 11-8-63 intimates that the amount of the original estimate viz., Rs. 2295/- has been placed at the disposal of the Public Works Department, that no outlay has so far been incurred as the estimate sanctioned was unworkable, that the revised estimate has been under consideration ever since 1958, that a sum of Rs. 100/- has to towards the temporary establishment and that lumpsum charges of Rs. 10/- provided for medical charges may be deleted. A copy of the letter is enclosed for ready reference. The amount of the revised estimate will be reduced to Rs.3404/-.

"COPY"

For Rural Commissioner.

Read -

Government Order No.5630-701 Muz. 144-18-10 dated 10th April 1922 sanctioning an estimate for Rs.2295 for repairing the Sri Mallikarjunaswamy temple at Mrighavade, Thirthahalli Taluk.

2. Letter No.1340/32-3 dated 25th January 1934 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore recommending, in connection with a revised estimate for Rs.3404/- for the above work received from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, involving an extra cost of Rs.1109, that a loan of Rs.1000 carrying interest at 4 per cent per annum may be sanctioned from the funds of the Sri Ramachandradevaru temple in Thirthahalli Taluk, repayable in five annual instalments of Rs.200 each to meet the extra outlay on the work and stating that after the said loan is sanctioned, the revised estimate would be sanctioned by him.

Order No. 1120-2 / Muz 194-33-2

Dated, Bangalore, 20th February 1934.

The recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner is sanctioned.

The Chief Engineer is requested to enquire and report about the delay in the execution of the old estimate even/when a deposit was made and the loss caused to the Institution thereby.

B Muddukrishnappa

for Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

The Comptroller to Government,

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Mug

File No. 194-33

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 21/2/ 1934

Signed by [illegible]

Read— Govt order No 5690-701 Mung 144-18-10 dated 13.6.22 sanctioning an estimate for Rs 2295 for repairing the Sri Mallikarjuna swamy temple at Mighavade, Thirthahalli TK

Order thereon —No.— Letter No 1340/32-3 dated 25.1.1934 from the M.C in Mysore, stating that the D.C. Shimoga has submitted a revised estimate for Rs 3604 for the above work, that the excess over the sanctioned estimate for Rs 2295 which is placed at his disposal

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ? 24

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

recommending, in connection with a revised estimate for 404/- for the above work received from the D.C. Shimoga Dt., involving an extra cost of Rs. 11, that

~~that the sum of Rs 7[illegible] @ Rupees 8 per cent Thousand Rs,~~
~~and recommended that~~
that carrying interest at 4% per annum
a loan of Rs 1000 may be sanctd
from the funds of the Lr
Samaya de Ramachandra
dollars temple in Thirthahalli
Taluk, repayable in five
annual instalments of
to meet the extra outlay in the work
Rs 200 each ~~with interest~~
~~at 4% per annum~~ and
stating
~~ordering~~ that after the said
loan is sanctd, the revised
estimate would be sanctd
by him

Order 1120-2 Secl

The recommendation
of the M.c is sanctd

List of Enclosure.

The Chief Engineer is requested to enquire & report about the delay in the execution of the old estimate even when a deposit was made and the loss caused to the Institution thereby.

2/1912

Jr Secy

AS

S/L

Copied by

Examined by

The M.c in Mysore
comptroller
Chief Engineer